1		The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	TREMOR MEMBLE AND IC	N. 2.22 01652 DOM
10	TREVOR KEVIN BAYLIS,	No. 2:23-cv-01653-RSM
11	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT VALVE CORPORATION'S ANSWER
12	V.	AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF
13	VALVE CORPORATION,	TREVOR KEVIN BAYLIS' SECOND AMENDED
14	Defendant.	COMPLAINT
15		
16		
17	Defendant Valve Corporation ("Valve") a	answers Plaintiff Trevor Baylis' ("Baylis")
18	Complaint as follows. All allegations not express	ly admitted are denied. 1
19	1. INTROI	DUCTION
20	1. No response to this paragraph is re	equired.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	¹ Valve notes that its Answer mirrors the formatting and he Amended Complaint, which contains sections without any	
26	nonetheless following Baylis' format to facilitate the Court the allegations it can answer. To the extent that Baylis' hea	's review and ability to track Valve's responses to
ı	denies them	



1 2. THE PARTIES TO THIS COMPLAINT 2 A. **Plaintiff** 3 2. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 4 of the allegations of Paragraph 2 regarding the city of Baylis' residence and therefore denies 5 them. 3. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to Baylis' 6 7 citizenship and residence and therefore denies them. Valve denies the remaining allegations 8 of Paragraph 3. Valve admits that the Copyright Office registration number provided purports 10 to list Baylis as a joint author of the motion picture Iron Sky but denies the registration is 11 valid. 12 В. **Defendant** 13 Valve denies that it is also known as ValveSoftware. Valve admits the 5. 14 remaining allegations of Paragraph 5. 15 3. NATURE OF CLAIM 16 6. Valve denies the characterization of its business model as set forth in 17 Paragraph 6. Valve further answers that Paragraph 6 constitutes legal argument and 18 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve 19 denies the allegations in this paragraph. 20 7. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 7. 21 8. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 8. 22 9. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 9. 23 10. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 10. 24 11. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 11. 25 26

4. QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 12. Paragraph 12 constitutes a question seeking a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 13. Paragraph 13 constitutes a question seeking a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 14. Paragraph 14 constitutes a question seeking a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 15. Paragraph 15 constitutes a question seeking a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 16. Paragraph 16 constitutes a question seeking a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 17. Paragraph 17 constitutes a question seeking a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 18. Paragraph 18 constitutes a question seeking a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 19. Paragraph 19 constitutes a question seeking a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in this paragraph.



1 6. STATEMENT OF CLAIM 2 31. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 31. 3 32. Valve admits that it has guidelines about the content that can be distributed 4 via Steam and that Valve reviews games to ensure compliance with those guidelines. Except 5 as otherwise expressly admitted herein, Valve denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 32. 6 7 33. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 8 of the allegations of Paragraph 33 and therefore denies them. 34. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 10 of the allegations of Paragraph 34 and therefore denies them. 11 35. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 12 of the allegations of Paragraph 35 and therefore denies them. 13 36. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 36. 14 37. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 15 of the allegations of Paragraph 37 and therefore denies them. 16 38. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 17 of the allegations of Paragraph 38 and therefore denies them. 18 39. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 19 of the allegations of Paragraph 39 and therefore denies them. 20 40. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 21 of the allegations of Paragraph 40 and therefore denies them. 22 41. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 23 of the allegations of Paragraph 41 and therefore denies them. 24 42. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 25 of the allegations of Paragraph 42 and therefore denies them. 26



1	52. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth	
2	of the allegations of Paragraph 52 and therefore denies them.	
3	53. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth	
4	of the allegations of Paragraph 53 and therefore denies them.	
5	54. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth	
6	of the allegations of Paragraph 54. Furthermore, this paragraph constitutes legal argumen	
7	and conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required	
8	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 54.	
9	55. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth	
10	of the allegations of Paragraph 55 and therefore denies them.	
11	56. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth	
12	of the allegations of Paragraph 56 and therefore denies them.	
13	57. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth	
14	of the allegations of Paragraph 57 and therefore denies them.	
15	58. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 58.	
16	59. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 59.	
17	7. IDENTIFICATION OF COPYRIGHTED WORKS ON STEAM	
18	60. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 60.	
19	61. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 61.	
20	62. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 62.	
21	8. IDENTIFICATION OF AND COMPARISONS OF WORKS	
22	63. Valve denies Baylis is a joint author of the film Iron Sky and denies he shares	
23	any interest with other joint authors. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form	
24	a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the allegations of Paragraph 63 and therefore denie	
25	them.	
26		
'		

1 64. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 2 of the allegations of Paragraph 64 and therefore denies them. 3 Exhibits. 4 Α. Iron Sky film version of George W Bush craft. 5 65. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 65 and therefore denies them. 6 7 B. Iron Sky film version of George W Bush craft main authors. 8 The above-entitled section of the Second Amended Complaint does not contain 9 allegations to which Valve can respond. C. 10 Iron Sky film version of George W Bush craft main weapons author. 11 The above-entitled section of the Second Amended Complaint does not contain 12 allegations to which Valve can respond. 13 D. Iron Sky film version of George W Bush craft Maya model file. 14 The above-entitled section of the Second Amended Complaint does not contain 15 allegations to which Valve can respond. 16 Ε. Iron Sky film version of George W Bush craft Maya model file meta 17 data. 18 The above-entitled section of the Second Amended Complaint does not contain 19 allegations to which Valve can respond. 20 F. Video of construction for main weapons for the George W Bush craft. 21 66. Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 22 of the allegations of Paragraph 66 and therefore denies them. 23 Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth 67. 24 of the allegations of Paragraph 67 and therefore denies them. 25 26

1	G.	Iron Sky George W Bush craft within Iron Sky Invasion Game.
2	The a	above-entitled section of the Second Amended Complaint does not contain
3	allegations to	which Valve can respond.
4	Н.	Iron Sky Invasion version of Iron Sky film George W Bush craft.
5	68.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 68.
6	69.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 69.
7	I.	Iron Sky film version of Gotterdammerung craft.
8	70.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
9	of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 70 and therefore denies them.
10	71.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
11	of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 71 and therefore denies them.
12	J.	Iron Sky film Gotterdammerung craft main authors.
13	The a	above-entitled section of the Second Amended Complaint does not contain
14	allegations to	which Valve can respond.
15	K.	Iron Sky Gotterdammerung craft within Iron Sky Invasion Game.
16	The a	above-entitled section of the Second Amended Complaint does not contain
17	allegations to	which Valve can respond.
18	L.	Iron Sky Invasion version of Iron Sky film Gotterdammerung craft.
19	72.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 72.
20	73.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 73.
21	M.	Iron Sky Valkyrie UFO.
22	74.	Valve denies Baylis holds any joint copyright interest in the works alleged.
23	Valve lacks	information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
24	remainder of	the allegations of Paragraph 74 and therefore denies them.
25		
26		

N.	Iron Sky film Valkyrie UFO craft main authors.
The a	above-entitled section of the Second Amended Complaint does not contain
allegations to which Valve can respond.	
О.	Non-exhaustive example of scene created by Baylis for the film Iron Sky.
75.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 75 and therefore denies them.
P.	Iron Sky park hangar master scene v57.
76.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 76 and therefore denies them.
Q.	Iron Sky Invasion Valkyrie UFO.
77.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 77.
78.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 78.
R.	Iron Sky Australian ship "Dundee."
79.	Valve denies Baylis holds any joint copyright interest in the works alleged.
Valve lacks	information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
remainder of	the allegations of Paragraph 79 and therefore denies them.
S.	Iron Sky Invasion Dundee craft.
80.	Valve denies Baylis holds any copyright interest in the works alleged. Valve
further denies	s it is unlawfully distributing Baylis' alleged works. Valve lacks information or
knowledge si	ufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the allegations of
Paragraph 80	and therefore denies them.
81.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 81.
	9. CHAIN OF TITLE
82.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 82 and therefore denies them.
	The a allegations to O. 75. Of the allegation P. 76. of the allegation Q. 77. 78. R. 79. Valve lacks remainder of S. 80. further denies knowledge st Paragraph 80 81.

1	10. IRON SKY INVASION GAMES ON VALVE CORPS SERVICE	
2		PLATFORMS
3	93.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 93.
4	Α.	Iron Sky Invasion game play streamed to third party platforms.
5	94.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
6	of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 94 and therefore denies them.
7	95.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
8	of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 95 and therefore denies them.
9	96.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
10	of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 96 and therefore denies them.
11	В.	Other game play videos accessible via Valve's Steam Platform
12	97.	Valve admits that game play videos may be available on Steam. Except as
13	otherwise expressly admitted, Valve denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 97.	
14	98.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 98.
15	99.	Paragraph 99 constitutes legal argument and conclusions to which no response
16	is required.	To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations of this
17	paragraph.	
18	100.	Paragraph 100 constitutes legal argument and conclusions to which no
19	response is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations of	
20	this paragrap	h.
21	101.	Paragraph 101 does not contain an allegation to which Valve can respond.
22	102.	Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 102.
23	103.	Paragraph 103 does not contain an allegation to which Valve can respond.
24	104.	Valve lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
25	of the allegat	ions of Paragraph 104 and therefore denies them.
26		
'		

1	105. Valve admits that it took down certain material and that, after receipt of a	
2	counter notification, that material once again became available.	
3	106. Paragraph 106 constitutes legal argument and conclusions to which no	
4	response is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in	
5	this paragraph.	
6	107. Paragraph 107 constitutes legal argument and conclusions to which no	
7	response is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations is	
8	this paragraph.	
9	11. CAUSES OF ACTION	
10	COUNT I	
11	DIRECT COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT UNDER U.S.C. 17 §501	
12	108. Valve incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-107, and all	
13	exhibits and references to the Complaint (to the extent incorporated into paragraph 108 of the	
14	Complaint), as if set forth fully herein.	
15	109. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 109.	
16	110. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 110.	
17	111. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 111.	
18	COUNT 2	
19	VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT UNDER U.S.C. 17 §501	
20	112. Valve incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1-111 and all	
21	exhibits and references to the Complaint (to the extent incorporated into paragraph 108 of the	
22	Complaint), as if set forth fully herein.	
23	113. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 113.	
24	114. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 114.	
25	115. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 115.	
26		
'		

1 COUNT 3 2 MATERIAL MISREPRESNTATIONS UNDER U.S.C. 17 §512 (f) 3 116. - 123. Count 3 of the Second Amended Complaint was dismissed on March 20, 4 2024 by the Order Granting Partial Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #35) and therefore requires no 5 response. To the extent the Court determines a response is required, Valve denies Paragraphs 6 116 through 123. 7 COUNT 4 8 WILLFUL BLINDNESS UNDER U.S.C. 17 §512 AND U.S.C. 17 §106 124. – 135. Count 4 of the Second Amended Complaint was dismissed on March 20, 10 2024 by the Order Granting Partial Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #35) and therefore requires no 11 response. To the extent the Court determines a response is required, Valve denies Paragraphs 12 124 through 135. 13 12. RELIEF 14 Regarding Paragraphs 136-139, Defendant Valve Corporation denies that Baylis is 15 entitled to any damages or relief in this lawsuit as requested in the Second Amended 16 Complaint or otherwise. 17 13. SUMMARY 18 140. Paragraph 140 constitutes legal argument and conclusions to which no 19 response is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in 20 this paragraph. 21 141. Paragraph 141 constitutes legal argument and conclusions to which no 22 response is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in 23 this paragraph. 24 142. Paragraph 142 constitutes legal argument and conclusions to which no 25 response is required. To the extent any response is required, Valve denies the allegations in 26 this paragraph.

1 143. Valve denies the allegations of Paragraph 143. In particular, Baylis has not 2 "conformed with all necessary requirements under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11" 3 because he knowingly misrepresented to the United States Copyright Office that he holds a 4 valid copyright for the purposes of obtaining a copyright registration to enable him to bring 5 this suit. AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES 6 7 Valve asserts the following affirmative and other defenses and reserves the right to 8 amend these defenses as additional information becomes available through discovery and 9 otherwise or through further legal analysis of Baylis' allegations, contentions, and positions 10 in this litigation. 11 FIRST DEFENSE 12 The Second Amended Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be 13 granted. 14 SECOND DEFENSE 15 The Second Amended Complaint is subject to dismissal for Baylis' failure to join 16 necessary and indispensable parties to this action. 17 THIRD DEFENSE 18 Baylis' claims are barred because Valve has not infringed any of Baylis' alleged 19 copyrights. 20 FOURTH DEFENSE 21 Baylis' claims may be barred in whole or part because the allegedly infringing 22 material was created independently. 23 FIFTH DEFENSE 24 Baylis' claims are barred in whole or in part because he lacks standing and/or capacity 25 to bring such claims. 26

1 SIXTH DEFENSE 2 Baylis' claims for damages may be barred in whole or part because he failed to 3 properly register his alleged works. SEVENTH DEFENSE 4 5 Baylis' claims for damages may be barred in whole or part to the extent Baylis has 6 failed to take reasonable steps to mitigate his alleged damages. 7 EIGHTH DEFENSE 8 To the extent that any infringement occurred – which Valve denies – any such 9 infringement was not intentional or willful. 10 NINTH DEFENSE 11 To the extent Baylis seeks recovery of profits or revenues of Valve arising from its 12 sale or distribution of the video game alleged here, no such profits or revenues were 13 attributable to or can be allocated or apportioned based upon the infringement Baylis alleges. 14 TENTH DEFENSE 15 Baylis' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by his own fraudulent or inequitable 16 conduct including without limitation in connection with obtaining a United States copyright 17 registration. 18 ELEVENTH DEFENSE 19 Any damage Baylis actually suffered – which Valve denies – was directly and 20 proximately caused and contributed to by acts of parties other than Valve. 21 TWELFTH DEFENSE 22 Baylis' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because his alleged copyrights are invalid and/or unenforceable. 23 24 THIRTEENTH DEFENSE 25 Baylis' claims may be barred, in whole or in part, to the extent the alleged violations 26 of copyright are *de minimis* or permitted as fair uses.

1 FOURTEENTH DEFENSE 2 Baylis' claims may be barred by the doctrines of res judicata or collateral estoppel. FIFTEENTH DEFENSE 3 4 Baylis' claims may be barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of 5 limitations. SIXTEENTH DEFENSE 6 7 Baylis' claims may be barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of laches, waiver, 8 estoppel, ratification, unclean hands and/or other equitable doctrines. SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE 10 Baylis' claims may be barred, in whole or in part, because the works alleged are not 11 copyrightable for lack of originality. 12 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 13 WHEREFORE, Valve prays for the following relief: 14 1. That Baylis take nothing by way of the Second Amended Complaint, and that the 15 action be dismissed with prejudice; 16 2. That the Court award Valve its attorneys' fees and all other costs reasonably 17 incurred in defense of this action to the extent permitted by law, including without limitation 18 by 17 U.S.C. § 505; and 19 3. That the Court award Valve such other and further relief that it deems just and 20 proper. 21 22 // 23 24 // 25 26 //

1	DATED: April 3, 2024.	ARETE LAW GROUP PLLC
2		By: /s/ Jonah O. Harrison Jonah O. Harrison, WSBA No. 34576
3 4		Jeremy E. Roller, WSBA No. 32021 1218 Third Avenue, Suite 2100
5		Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 428-3250
6		Fax: (206) 428-3251 jharrison@aretelaw.com
7		jroller@aretelaw.com
8		Attorneys for Defendant Valve Corporation
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on this date I caused true and correct copies of the foregoing
3	document to be served upon the following, at the addresses stated below, via the method of
4	service indicated.
5	
6	Trevor Kevin Baylis (pro se)
7	33560, Tampere
8	
9	
10	Dated this 3rd day of April, 2024 in Seattle, Washington.
11	<u>/s/ Kaila Greenberg</u> Kaila Greenberg
12	Legal Assistant
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	